Nanoscale Fe\textsuperscript{0} particles are a promising technology for in situ remediation of trichloroethene (TCE) plumes and TCE-DNAPL source areas, but the physical and chemical properties controlling their reactivity are not yet understood. Here, the TCE reaction rates, pathways, and efficiency of two nanoscale Fe\textsuperscript{0} particles are measured in batch reactors: particles synthesized from sodium borohydride reduction of ferrous iron (Fe/B) and commercially available particles (RNIP). Reactivity was determined under iron-limited (high [TCE]) and excess iron (low [TCE]) conditions and with and without added H\textsubscript{2}. Particle efficiency, defined as the fraction of the Fe\textsuperscript{0} in the particles that is used to dechlorinate TCE, was determined under iron-limited conditions. Both particles had a core/shell structure and similar specific surface area (~30 m\textsuperscript{2}/g). Using excess iron, Fe/B transformed TCE into ethane (80%) and C3−C6 coupling products (20%). The measured surface area normalized pseudo-first-order rate constant for Fe/B (1.4 × 10\textsuperscript{-2} L⋅h\textsuperscript{-1}⋅m\textsuperscript{-2}) is ~4-fold higher than for RNIP (3.1 × 10\textsuperscript{-3} L⋅h\textsuperscript{-1}⋅m\textsuperscript{-2}). All the Fe\textsuperscript{0} in Fe/B was accessible for TCE dechlorination, and 92 ± 0.7% of the Fe\textsuperscript{0} was used to reduce TCE. For Fe/B, H\textsubscript{2} evolved from reduction of water (H\textsuperscript{2}O) was subsequently used for TCE dechlorination, and adding H\textsubscript{2} to the reactor increased both the dechlorination rate and the mass of TCE reduced, indicating that a catalytic pathway exists. RNIP yielded unsaturated products (acetylene and ethene). Nearly half (46%) of the Fe\textsuperscript{0} in RNIP was unavailable for TCE dechlorination over the course of the experiment and remained in the particles. Adding H\textsubscript{2} did not change the reaction rate or efficiency of RNIP. Despite this, the mass of TCE dechlorinated per mass of Fe\textsuperscript{0} added was similar for both particles due to the less saturated products formed from RNIP. The oxide shell composition and the boron content are the most likely causes for the differences between the particle types.

Introduction

Chlorinated solvents such as trichloroethene (TCE) that are present in the subsurface as nonaqueous phase liquids (NAPL) are continuous long-term sources of halogenated organic contaminants (HOCs) to groundwater. Removing these sources can speed up remediation and reduce the time to site closure, but reliable cost-effective methods to remediate NAPL-impacted sites are needed. For more than a decade, iron filings have been used in permeable reactive barriers to effectively treat HOC-contaminated groundwater plumes emanating from NAPL source areas. The rates and pathways of TCE dechlorination by iron filings have been intensively studied and are fairly well understood. In the reaction between TCE and iron filings, TCE is reduced and releases chloride ions, while iron is oxidized and supplies electrons (1–5). The proposed reaction pathways are β-elimination (primary), hydrogenolysis (minor), and hydrogenation (minor). These mechanisms explain the formation of ethene and ethane as the main products, with minor amounts of chlorinated intermediates (formed via hydrogenolysis) and acetylene (formed via β-elimination) (3–6).

Iron oxides and oxyhydroxides on the particle’s surface mediate these reactions (7–9), but the exact nature of how different iron oxide phases affect the rate and products formed remains unclear.

Recently, nanoscale iron and bimetallic (Pd–Fe and Ni–Fe) particles have been used for in situ TCE dechlorination. For example, Elliott and Zhang (10) successfully injected bimetallic (Pd–Fe) nanoparticles into a sand aquifer to remediate a dissolved TCE plume. Nanoscale iron has also been delivered to a TCE NAPL source area using a water/vegetable oil/surfactant emulsion (11). These studies demonstrate that nanoparticles can be delivered in situ; however, it has also been shown that their small size alone is not sufficient to ensure adequate transport in the subsurface, and effective delivery mechanisms are still needed (12).

Recent research has focused on bimetallic (Fe–Pd and/or Fe–Ni) nanoscale particles (10, 13–19). They have much higher TCE dechlorination rates than iron filings and typically provide saturated hydrocarbon products (e.g., ethane). Their high surface to volume ratio and the addition of a catalyst are reported to be the cause of the increased reactivity relative to iron filings. The Pd or Ni alloys formed on the particle surfaces also oxidize less rapidly than Fe\textsuperscript{0} and preserve the Fe\textsuperscript{0} core for TCE dechlorination. A core/shell model has been proposed, where the shell is an iron oxide with embedded catalyst (Ni or Pd) and the core is Fe\textsuperscript{0}. Electrons from oxidation of the Fe\textsuperscript{0} core are transported through the iron oxide/catalyst shell to reduce adsorbed TCE (16). Bimetallic iron particles effectively degrade TCE, but they may themselves pose an environmental risk by introducing undesirable metals (e.g., Ni) into the subsurface.

Less is known about the reaction kinetics and products formed during TCE dechlorination by iron nanoparticles without a catalyst metal. The reported surface area normalized TCE reaction rates by nanoscale iron (2–3 × 10\textsuperscript{-3} L⋅h\textsuperscript{-1}⋅m\textsuperscript{-2}) (13, 16) are similar to or slightly higher than those for iron filings (~10\textsuperscript{-4}–10\textsuperscript{-3} L⋅h\textsuperscript{-1}⋅m\textsuperscript{-2}) (20), but their significantly larger specific surface area makes them attractive for field application. As with iron filings, non-chlorinated hydrocarbons (C2–C5) were observed as the final products from TCE degradation by nanoscale iron (13, 16), but details of the product distribution and reaction kinetics have not been described, and it is not clear if nanoscale iron dechlorinates TCE through the same pathways as iron filings. Reported TCE dechlorination pathways by iron filings are shown in Figure 1. This summary includes the observed products from β-elimination (pathway 2) and hydrogenolysis (pathway 1) using iron filings (5). Intermediate compounds (e.g., chloroacetylene, dichloroethenes, and vinyl chloride).
are not included. A direct pathway from TCE to ethane reported for Pd-catalyzed TCE dechlorination (pathway 4) is also included (27). A direct pathway does not necessitate new pathways than those reported for iron, if for example, the intermediate compounds do not desorb from the particle surface before complete reduction to ethane and are not observed.

The mass of nanoscale iron needed for remediation depends, in part, on the fraction of the Fe\(^0\) in the particle core that is available for reaction. Given the small primary particle size in nanoscale Fe\(^0\) (50 nm diameter), it is possible that all of the Fe\(^0\) in the core of the particles may be available for reaction, but the extent of utilization of Fe\(^0\) in the particles has not been evaluated. The mass of iron needed also depends on selectivity of the reaction for TCE dechlorination. In this study, the following half-reactions are of interest:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fe}^0 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2e^- \\
\text{TCE} + n\text{e}^- + m\text{H}^+ & \rightarrow \text{products} + 3\text{Cl}^- \tag{1}
\end{align*}
\]

Electrons from Fe\(^0\) oxidation can be used to dechlorinate TCE (eq 2) or used to produce H\(_2\) (eq 3). The mass of TCE dechlorinated per mass of Fe\(^0\) will depend on the relative rates of each of these reactions, on the value of \(n\) in eq 2 (which is a function of the product distribution), and on the accessibility of the Fe\(^0\) in the core of the particle. It also depends on the ability of the Fe\(^0\) particles to use the H\(_2\) produced for reducing TCE. It has been reported that H\(_2\) gas is evolved from nanoscale iron in water (16), but it remains unclear what factors influence the rate of H\(_2\) produced, or if the H\(_2\) generated can be used to reduce TCE.

Several methods are available to synthesize iron nanoparticles, but particles synthesized by aqueous phase reduction of ferrous or ferric iron by sodium borohydride (Fe/B) have been the most thoroughly investigated (10, 13–19). A second less studied type of iron nanoparticle, reactive nanoscale iron particles (RNIP), synthesized by gas-phase reduction of iron oxides in H\(_2\) are commercially available from Toda Kogyo Corporation, Onoda, Japan (22). The ease of manufacturing and the lower cost of RNIP make them attractive for in situ remediation of TCE-impacted sites, but it is unclear if these particles can dechlorinate TCE as effectively as Fe/B. The physical and chemical properties affecting particle reactivity (e.g., iron oxide phase and boron content) are also unknown. In this study, the iron oxide phases and morphology of both particle types are determined using transmission electron microscopy (TEM), electron diffraction (ED), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS). The reaction rates and products formed from TCE dechlorination by each particle type are measured. The selectivity for TCE dechlorination over H\(_2\) evolution and the influence of H\(_2\) on TCE reactivity are also evaluated. The overall objective is to better understand the factors affecting the reactivity and efficiency of nanoscale Fe\(^0\) particles during TCE dechlorination. The specific research objectives are to (i) quantify the reaction rates and products formed from TCE dechlorination by each particle type, (ii) determine the primary differences between the two particle types, (iii) determine the fraction of the Fe\(^0\) in the particle core that is available for reaction with TCE (efficiency), (iii) determine if the byproduct H\(_2\) can be used to dechlorinate TCE, and (iv) gain insight into how the physical and chemical properties (e.g., iron oxide phases and thickness) affect the TCE reaction rates and pathway.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals.** Ferrous sulfate (FeSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O) (99.7%), sodium borohydride (NaBH\(_4\)) (98%+), and concentrated HCl (37%) were supplied by Fisher Scientific. Amorphous B powder was purchased from Alfa Aesar. TCE (99.5%+, cis-1,2, trans-1,2-dichloroethene (c-DCE) (98%), trans-1,2-dichloroethene (t-DCE) (98%), and 1,1-dichloroethene (1,1-DCE) (99%)) were purchased from Aldrich. Methanol (historical grade) was purchased from Acros. Olefin standards (1000 ppm of ethene, propene, butene, pentene, and hexene), acetylene (1000 ppm, 1%), and hydrogen (1%) were obtained from Alltech. The balance of each was N\(_2\), and all had ±2% variation. Ultrahigh purity argon and compressed N\(_2\) were purchased from Butler Gas Products (Pittsburgh, PA).

**Particle Preparation.** Fe/B was synthesized by reduction of an aqueous phase ferrous iron solution by sodium borohydride using a slightly modified method than previously reported by Ponder et al. (18). FeSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O was dissolved into a 30% (volume) methanol/deionized (DI) water solution, and 10 mL of 5 N NaOH aqueous solution was added dropwise to the dissolved iron solution yielding a pH of 6.1. Next, 50 mL of a 2.1 M NaBH\(_4\) aqueous solution was added at a rate ~0.5 mL/s. The resulting particle suspension was centrifuged, and the separated Fe\(^0\) particles were dried under N\(_2\) at 105 °C for 3–4 h. Air was allowed to bleed into the oven slowly overnight to stabilize the particles. Particles were subsequently stored in argon prior to use. Complete details of the synthesis method are provided in the Supporting Information. RNIP was obtained from Toda Kogyo Corp. (Onoda, Japan). The particles were shipped and stored in water (pH 10.6). Before use, the particles were dried in water under vacuum.

**Batch Reactors.** Batch experiments were conducted in 160 mL serum bottles capped by Teflon Mininert valves. The reactors were prepared in an anaerobic glovebox (argon) or a H\(_2\)-filled glovebag and contained 100 mL of deoxygenated water, 60 mL of headspace, and a specified mass of Fe\(^0\) particles and TCE. Either a saturated TCE solution or pure phase TCE was added to provide the desired initial TCE concentration. The reactors were rotated on an end-over-end rotator at 30 rpm at 22 ± 1 °C.

**Analytical.** Reactor headspace samples (100 µL) were analyzed on a HP 6890 GC equipped with a GS-Q plot column (30 m × 0.53 mm, Alltech) and a FID detector. Samples were injected splitless at 250 °C. The oven temperature program (50 °C for 2 min, ramp 40 °C/min to 220 °C, and hold for 6 min) provided adequate separation between TCE and all chlorinated and non-chlorinated reaction products. When high concentrations of acetylene were present, ethane appeared as a shoulder on the acetylene peak. In these cases, the peaks were deconvoluted to determine the ethane and acetylene concentrations. TCE calibration standards were prepared in the 160 mL reactors with the same water/headsapce volume ratio as the reactors (100/60). DCE standards (c-, t-, 1,1-) were prepared in smaller (14 mL) reactors. Aqueous concentrations of TCE and its reaction products were calculated using reported Henry’s constants (23–25). The carbon mass balance was calculated using the total measured mass of TCE and products and the initial mass of TCE added to the system.

For iron-limited conditions, the H\(_2\) concentration in the reactors was also monitored. H\(_2\) evolved from particles in deoxygenated DI water (no TCE) was measured using 200 mg/L of the Fe/B or 500 mg/L of RNIP. H\(_2\) was quantified on a Shimadzu GC-14A equipped with a 1/8 in. o.d. × 10 m long HayeSep D (Alltech) packed column and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Argon (13 mL/min) was used as the carrier gas. The inlet temperature was 120 °C, the oven was isothermal at 30 °C, and the TCD was 120°C. Standards ranging from 0.25% to 5% hydrogen in headspace were used for calibration.
Iron Characterization. The iron particle sizes and electron diffraction patterns were collected using a Philips EM420 transmission electron microscope (TEM) at 120 kV. The morphology was further evaluated using the JEM-ARM 1000 atomic resolution microscope (JEOL, Ltd.) at the National Center for Electron Microscopy (Berkeley, CA). The ARM was operated at 800 kV, which allowed magnification levels of up to 1 000 000 x. The distribution of oxygen atoms in the particles was examined using a Philips CM200/FEF operated at 200 kV and capable of electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS). Particles were dispersed (sonicated) in hexane or toluene and then dripped onto a 300 mesh Cu TEM grid coated with Formvar or lacey carbon, X-ray diffraction (XRD) of dried RNIP before and after were performed on a Rigaku Geigerflex XRD with Cu Kα X-ray source. Fe0 and oxide phases were identified by matching at least two spectrographic peaks from 20 10 – 80°. The N2-BET specific surface area of the particles was measured (5-point isotherm) using a NOVA 2200 BET-surface area analyzer (Quantachrome, Boynton Beach, FL). The boron content of the Fe/B was determined by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) according to EPA Method 6010B.

The Fe0 content in particles before and after reaction with TCE was determined by measuring the H2 gas evolved during particle digestion in a concentrated HCl solution (37%). It was verified that amorphous B0 alone did not produce any H2 under these conditions. On the basis of the reported standard reduction potentials, iron oxides (2) or B-oxides will not produce H2 when acidified. It is unclear if an Fe0 particle digestion in a concentrated HCl solution (37%) was verified that amorphous B0 alone did not produce any H2 under these conditions. On the basis of the reported standard reduction potentials, iron oxides (2) or B-oxides will not produce H2 when acidified. It is unclear if an Fe0 alloy can produce H2 in HCl, and it is assumed that Fe0 is the species reducing H+ to form H2 under acid conditions. As a result, electrons available in both types of particles were reported as the mass of Fe0. There is a 1:1 molar ratio between Fe0 and hydrogen (eq 4):

\[
\text{Fe}^0 + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2
\]  
(4)

A measured mass of particles was added to 160 mL reactors containing 10 mL of acid, capped, and shaken for 5 h. Evolved H2 was quantified by GC/TCD as described above. The reported Fe0 content of the nanoparticles was obtained from four replicate measurements. Errors reported are ±1 standard deviation.

Particle Efficiency. Particle efficiency is defined here as the fraction of the Fe0 particles in which used to dechlorinate TCE. The electrons needed per mole of TCE dechlorinated depend on the products formed so values for n and m in eq 2 are reaction specific. For example, the reduction of TCE to ethane requires 8 electrons (n = 8) and 5 protons (m = 5):

\[
\text{TCE} + 8\text{e}^- + 5\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{ethane} + 3\text{Cl}^-
\]  
(5)

Values of n for each of the observed hydrocarbon products are 6, 4, 8, 6, 7, and 6.7 for ethene, acetylene, ethane, butylenes, butane, and hexane, respectively. For a mixture of products, the value for n is calculated using eq 6:

\[
n = \sum \bar{n}_i p_i
\]  
(6)

where \(\bar{n}_i\) is the coefficient for a single reaction (e.g., \(\bar{n}_i = 6\) for ethene), and \(p_i\) is the fraction of each product in a given experiment at the end of the reaction. The efficiency is calculated using eq 7:

\[
\epsilon = \frac{(M_0 - M_f)n}{2N_0}
\]  
(7)

where \(M_0\) is the initial moles of TCE in the reactor, \(M_f\) is the final moles of unreacted TCE at the end of reaction, and \(N_0\) is the initial moles of Fe0 added to the reactor. It is assumed that the product ratios measured are representative of the total products formed even though carbon mass balances of 85–92% were achieved in the experiments.

Reaction Rates. The reactivity of each particle type was tested under two specific conditions. One set of experiments used a high iron to TCE ratio [low TCE concentration (4.4 mg/L) and an excess of Fe0 (∼1.9 g/L)]. These experiments were of short duration (hours) to minimize deactivation, or changes in the particle surface properties of the iron during the reaction. A second set of experiments used a low iron to TCE ratio [high TCE concentration (290 mg/L) and a limited Fe0 mass (∼0.36 g/L)]. Excess TCE ensures that all the Fe0 would be consumed if it were accessible. Since less iron was used in these experiments, they typically lasted for several days. A second set of experiments used the same iron-limited conditions but with a pure H2 headspace rather than argon. In control experiments without Fe0 particles, it was demonstrated that other loss mechanisms (e.g., photodegradation, adsorption, leakage) were negligible. 

The TCE transformation rates for each particle type were evaluated using a kinetic modeling software package, Scientific, v.2.01 (Micromath, St. Louis, MO). Selected reaction pathways for RNIP and Fe/B from Figure 1 are hypothesized and evaluated based on the quality of fit to the experimental data. The loss of TCE and the formation of products were fit concurrently. Mass transfer resistance at the vapor/liquid interface was not considered as these phases are assumed to be in equilibrium (26).

Results

Particle Characterization. The properties of Fe/B and RNIP are provided in Table 1. The reported sizes were determined from at least five different TEM images. The size and specific surface area of Fe/B are similar to those reported in the literature (13, 16) despite minor differences in the iron synthesis method. The Fe/B has a higher initial Fe0 content (97 ± 8%) than the RNIP (26.9 ± 0.3%). The measured Fe0 content of RNIP is lower than the 60% Fe0 specified by the manufacturer, but this is probably because the particles had been slowly corroding to form H2 during the 7 months they were stored in water before use.

Both particles show a distinct core/shell structure before reaction (Figure 2a,c). The core/shell morphology of RNIP
is consistent with the manufacturer’s specifications. Lattice distances of 0.144, 0.112, 0.107, and 0.087 nm from ED of Fe/B and 0.203, 0.118, 0.101, and 0.091 nm from ED of RNIP are consistent with the ED patterns expected for bcc Fe. No iron oxide phases were identified for Fe/B with ED. An oxygen-EELS map of Fe/B (not shown) reveals that oxygen atoms are concentrated in the shell of the particles, indicating that the shell is an oxide or oxyhydroxide of some sort. ED patterns from RNIP indicate Fe$_3$O$_4$ as the only iron oxide; this was confirmed by XRD. More diffuse diffraction patterns for Fe/B relative to RNIP particle suggests that the Fe$^0$ and iron oxides in RNIP are more crystalline than in Fe/B.

**Products and Reaction Rates.** Under iron-limited conditions, Fe/B transformed TCE to non-chlorinated hydrocarbons, mostly even-numbered saturated alkanes (87.4%), but other saturated and unsaturated hydrocarbons were also detected (Table 2). Ethene was a reactive intermediate and transformed to ethane. No acetylene was detected. Vinyl chloride and c-DCE were detected as reactive intermediates in the reactor at very low levels and disappeared quickly. The final products were ethane (70%) and C$_3$–C$_6$ coupling products (~30%). A similar distribution was found for Fe/B using excess iron: 80% ethane and ~20% C$_3$–C$_6$ coupling products.

Under iron-limited conditions, RNIP transformed TCE primarily to two carbon unsaturated compounds, including acetylene (84.3%) and ethene (7.8%). All the hydrocarbon products observed using Fe/B were also observed with RNIP, but fewer were formed. Vinyl chloride and c-DCE were still present when TCE dechlorination ceased but accounted for only 0.04% and 0.6% of the TCE transformed, respectively. Using excess iron, acetylene was a reactive intermediate that transformed to ethene. The primary reaction products were ethene (74.5%) and ethane (20.9%).

TCE dechlorination and the major products formed are shown in Figures 3a–d. Rate constants determined from model fits of the data are given in Table 3. Fe/B displayed zero-order kinetics under iron-limited conditions, and pseudo-first-order kinetics using excess iron. No deactivation was apparent during the course of the reaction using Fe/B. In the iron-limited case, excess TCE remains after the available Fe$^0$ is consumed. Minor deviations from zero-order behavior at the end of the reaction ($t > 2.5$ d) were not considered in the fitting data (Figure 3a). TCE dechlorination by RNIP displayed pseudo-first-order kinetics under both reaction conditions. Deactivation was not observed using excess iron but was observed in the iron-limited case. Possible origins of the different observation are discussed below.

**Particle Efficiency.** The efficiency (Table 4) of each particle was calculated using the data collected under iron-limited conditions. The accessible Fe$^0$ remaining in the particles after reaction (based on H$_2$ evolution) was zero for both particle types; however, XRD spectra of RNIP after reaction contained peaks for Fe$^0$, indicating that some inaccessible Fe$^0$ remains in the particle. On the basis of the measured product distributions (Table 2), $n$ is 7.5 for Fe/B and 4.2 for RNIP.
Using this value of $n$ and the initial Fe$^0$ content of each particle (Table 1), the efficiency ($E$) is 92% for Fe/B and 52% for RNIP.

**H$_2$ Evolution and Its Effect on Particle Reactivity.** The H$_2$ evolved from each particle type, expressed as the percentage of Fe$^0$ added to the reactor used to produce it, is shown in Figure 4. In DI water (no TCE), H$_2$ evolved after 3 d from Fe/B accounted for 83% of the Fe$^0$ in the particle. The rate of H$_2$ evolution was rapid and constant in the first day (31 µmol of H$_2$ L g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) and slowed at later times. All of the Fe$^0$ in the particle was consumed for H$_2$ evolution after 11 d. With TCE present (290 mg/L initial concentration), the H$_2$ concentration in the reactor reached a maximum (20% of initial Fe$^0$) after 1 d but was zero by the end of the reaction.

In DI water (no TCE), H$_2$ evolved from RNIP accounted for 5.5% of the initial Fe$^0$ in the particles after 7 d and ~8.6% after 15 d. The rate of H$_2$ evolution appeared constant (0.1 µmol of H$_2$ L g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) after 3 d. With TCE present (290 mg/L initial concentration), H$_2$ evolution was decreased by ~75% after 1 d.

**FIGURE 3.** TCE dechlorination and major product formation using (a) Fe/B-excess iron; (b) Fe/B-iron limited; (c) RNIP-excess iron; and (d) RNIP-iron limited. Symbols: (■) TCE, (▲) acetylene, (●) ethene, (○) ethane, (○) coupling products (as C$_2$). Lines represent model predictions based on the parameters in Table 3.

**FIGURE 4.** H$_2$ production with and without TCE: (a) both Fe/B and RNIP and (b) RNIP on an enlarged scale. Lines are interpolated (not fit) and only meant to guide the eye.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>particle mass (mg)</th>
<th>Fe$^0$ mass (mg)</th>
<th>final TCE (mmol)</th>
<th>initial TCE (mmol)</th>
<th>efficiency (%$E$)</th>
<th>mmol of TCE dechlor/ g of Fe$^0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and stopped after 10 d. H₂ accumulated in the reactor and accounted for only ~2% of the initial Fe⁰ in the particles when TCE dechlorination ceased.

Experiments conducted using a H₂ headspace rather than argon are shown in (Figure 5a,b). For Fe/B, TCE disappeared in 22 h with a H₂ headspace as compared to ~72 h with an argon headspace. A second spike of TCE was added and ~40% of this spike was also degraded before the reaction stopped. The observed product distribution was nearly the same as for Fe/B using excess iron (Table 2). The moles of TCE reduced using a H₂ headspace was ~180% of the moles of TCE reduced using argon headspace, and given the similar products observed (n = 7.7), ~80% more TCE was reduced than the mass of Fe⁰ added to the reactor could have afforded assuming 2 mole of e⁻/mol of Fe⁰. No change in the reaction rate was observed for the RNIP using a H₂ headspace (Figure 5b).

Discussion

Reaction Rates and Products. Fe/B. Using excess iron the TCE dechlorination kinetics could be adequately modeled assuming direct pathways to radical coupling products (pathway 6), ethene (pathway 1), and ethane (pathway 4), and a pathway from ethene to ethane (pathway 5). The surface area normalized pseudo-first-order TCE dechlorination rate constant (k₅TCE = k₁ + k₄ + k₆, Table 3) for Fe/B using excess iron (1.4 × 10⁻² L·h⁻¹·m⁻²) is higher than those reported for iron filings (10⁻⁴–10⁻³ L·h⁻¹·m⁻²) (20) and for nanoscale iron (~10⁻³ L·h⁻¹·m⁻²) (13, 16), though not as high as those reported for Ni–Fe (9.8 × 10⁻² L·h⁻¹·m⁻²) (16). The higher rate constant relative to that of iron filings could be due to the presence of B (5 wt %, ~16 at. %) if an Fe–B alloy or Fe–B oxide is formed and significantly alters the electrochemical properties of the particles. The higher reactivity as compared to other unmodified nanoscale iron (synthesized by borohydride reduction of dissolved iron) could be a result of the slight modification of particle synthesis. The physical characteristics of the particles (specific surface area, particle size and shape) are not likely to be responsible for the increased reactivity as they are very similar to those previously reported (13, 16). Rather, the different Fe²⁺(aq) and NaBH₄(aq) concentrations, NaBH₄/Fe²⁺ ratio, solution pH, and rate of NaBH₄ addition (18) yielded a higher boron content (27), which could affect the particle properties. The saturated reaction products formed from Fe/B are more similar to those reported for bimetallics (Pd–Fe and Ni–Fe) and Pd-catalyzed TCE dechlorination (21) than for iron filings. This indicates a higher rate of hydrogenation compared to iron filings. More C₃–C₆ coupling products are formed from Fe/B than from a Pd catalyst. A catalytic reaction pathway, if active, could explain the high hydrogenation activity and the observed saturated products.

The pseudo-first-order kinetics observed using excess iron (low TCE concentration) are consistent with previous studies using nanoiron and bimetallic nanoparticles (16). The shift to zero-order kinetics under iron-limited conditions (high TCE concentration) has been observed for TCE dechlorination by iron filings and implies Langmuir–Hinshelwood–Hougen–Watson-type kinetics and strong binding of TCE to the iron surface (5). The absence of deactivation during TCE dechlorination by Fe/B suggests that the iron oxide or iron oxyhydroxide shell remains reactive throughout the reaction or that the particles are continuously dissolving during the reaction (shrinking particle model) to maintain fresh active sites. This contradicts the common conceptual model depicting growth of a passive and relatively insoluble iron oxide layer around the Fe⁰ core that inhibits the TCE dechlorination reaction (28).

RNIP. In contrast to Fe/B, TCE dechlorination by RNIP was adequately modeled assuming β-elimination (pathways 2 and 3) and a direct pathway to ethane (pathway 4). RNIP displayed pseudo-first-order reaction kinetics at both the high and low TCE/Fe ratios. This implies that TCE is only weakly adsorbed to reactive sites on the iron surface, that there is an abundance of reactive sites, or that some other factor (e.g., electron transport across the Fe₃O₄ shell) controls the rate of TCE dechlorination. The surface area normalized TCE reaction rate constant using excess iron is very similar to the rates for iron filings reported in the literature (10⁻⁴–10⁻³ L·h⁻¹·m⁻²). The formation of acetylene and ethene as reactive intermediates and production of only minor amounts of C₃–C₆ coupling products are consistent with the β-elimination pathway previously reported (5), but here acetylene is less reactive because significant quantities are measured during the reaction. The order of magnitude lower TCE dechlorination rate constant measured under iron-limited (high [TCE]) conditions is consistent with TCE dechlorination by iron filings (5) and may be due to inhibition by acetylene. Acetylene, at concentrations much lower than observed here, was found to inhibit the rate of dechlorination of t-DCE by an order of magnitude using iron filings (5). The final products (ethene, ethane, and traces of coupling products) formed are also consistent with TCE dechlorination by iron filings reported in the literature (4, 5), suggesting that TCE dechlorination by RNIP is very similar to iron filings.

Unlike Fe/B, RNIP showed evidence of deactivation (first-order deactivation rate constant, k₅ = 0.29/d) under iron-limited conditions. This is probably because the Fe₃O₄ shell grows during the reaction and hinders electron transport from the core to the exterior of the particle where TCE is degraded. This is consistent with the accepted conceptual model for iron filings. TEM images of RNIP after reaction under iron-limited conditions (Figure 2d) shows that the particle morphology after reaction is not considerably different from the fresh particles. This would be expected for a particle with an insoluble Fe₃O₄ shell and a reactive (shrinking) core. The increase of the Fe₃O₄ shell thickness during reaction may also limit accessibility to the Fe⁰ core in RNIP.
Particle Efficiency. Fe/B. The high electron efficiency (92%) of Fe/B for TCE dechlorination, the absence of detectable H$_2$ at the end of the reaction, and the absence of detectable Fe$^0$ in the particles after reaction indicates that all of the Fe$^0$ in these particles is used to dechlorinate TCE. Also, the Fe/B after reaction under iron-limited condition (Figure 2b) no longer has a core shell morphology. This again suggests that the iron oxide or iron hydroxide layer making up the shell never grows thick enough to hinder electron transport across the shell and slow the reaction or possibly that the particles are dissolving during the reaction and then recrystallizing. The fate of Fe/B during reaction is still under investigation.

RNIP. The electron efficiency of the RNIP (52%) under iron limited condition is lower than for Fe/B. This is in part due to the accumulation of H$_2$ in the reactor (2%), but primarily because some Fe$^0$ (46%) is inaccessible. XRD peaks for Fe$^0$ were observed in spent RNIP, indicating that Fe$^0$ remained in the particle core. RNIP after the reaction did not evolve H$_2$ when digested in HCl, however, and some of the spent particles were not dissolved after 7 d in concentrated HCl. Fresh particles were completely digested after only 5 h. The RNIP was most likely grew back enough to mask Fe$^0$ in the core inaccessible, but it remains unclear why Fe$^0$ in the core is insoluble in concentrated HCl.

The lower value of $n$ for RNIP (6.4) as compared to Fe/B (7.8) using excess iron is a result of the less saturated final products formed (ethene vs ethane). This implies that RNIP can dechlorinate 22% more TCE than Fe/B if all the Fe$^0$ in the particles was used. Under iron-limited conditions this increases as $n$ for RNIP and Fe/B are 4.2 and 7.5, respectively. If the iron to TCE ratio at TCE DNAPL-impacted sites results in iron limited conditions (likely if the source area is targeted), RNIP could dechlorinate up to 79% more TCE than Fe/B if all the Fe$^0$ in the particles was used. Considering both the products formed ($n$) and the accessibility of Fe$^0$ in the particles (efficiency), the mass of TCE dechlorinated per unit mass of Fe$^0$ is similar for RNIP and Fe/B (Table 4). In a field application, other potential oxidants will be present (e.g., O$_2$, nitrate; $n$) and the impact of these compounds on particle efficiency must also be considered.

H$_2$ Evolution and its Effect on Particle Reactivity. Fe/B. The appearance of H$_2$ as a reactive intermediate during TCE dechlorination indicates that it can be utilized after it is generated (Figure 4a). The higher TCE dechlorination rate for Fe/B in a H$_2$ headspace and the fact that ~80% more TCE was reduced than could be attributed to Fe$^0$ demonstrates that Fe/B can catalyze the aqueous phase hydrodechlorination of TCE using H$_2$ as the reductant, despite the lack of a noble or transition metal catalyst. Noble metals (e.g., Pd) typically catalyze this reaction (21, 30); however, amorphous Fe–B and Ni–B ribbons prepared by rapid quenching could catalytically hydrogenate CO to C$_2$H$_4$ hydrocarbons using H$_2$ gas (31, 32). Furthermore, Fe–Ni–B and Ni–B amorphous alloy synthesized from reduction of Fe(II)/Ni(II) or Ni(II) by KBH$_4$ have demonstrated catalytic hydrogenation of unsaturated organic compounds (33, 34). On the basis of these reports, it is likely that an Fe–B alloy is the catalytic species, but this is under further investigation. The decrease in H$_2$ evolution with TCE present as compared to deionized water (Figure 4a) may be due to the rapid consumption of H$_2$ in the catalytic reaction but could also be due to inhibition from TCE. Wang and Farrell (35) used electrochemical impedance spectroscopy to demonstrate that adsorbed atomic H ($H^+ + e^- + H^0$) tends to react with adsorbed TCE instead of combining to form H$_2$ gas. The high proportion of coupling products (~20–30%) indicates that another reaction pathway also exists, as fewer C$_3$–C$_6$ coupling products have been observed using a Pd catalyst (21).

RNIP. Adding H$_2$ to the reactor did not increase the TCE dechlorination reaction rate for RNIP indicating that H$_2$ does not play an important role. The lower H$_2$ evolution rate for RNIP as compared to Fe/B suggests that the amount of adsorbed H (if proportional to H$_2$ evolved) may be lower and may be limiting the TCE dechlorination rate. It has been demonstrated that the availability of adsorbed H was the limiting factor for TCE dechlorination by Ni–Fe bimetallics (16).

Physical and Chemical Properties Responsible for the Observed Differences. Considering the similar particle size and surface area for the two types of nanoparticles, differences in the particle composition, particularly the presence of boron, and the shell thickness are the most likely cause for the observed differences between the two particle types. Rapid TCE dechlorination and the absence of deactivation are attractive properties of Fe/B, but these particles may not be practical for in situ application because the rapid H$_2$ evolution would cause these particles to “burn out” prior to reaching their target. It may be possible to protect the Fe$^0$ core of Fe/B using surface coatings other than noble metals, such as polymers. The thicker and more crystalline Fe$_3$O$_4$ shell of RNIP slows the TCE dechlorination rate and makes some Fe$^0$ inaccessible, but the observed TCE dechlorination rates afforded by RNIP are likely to be rapid enough for in situ application. The Fe$_3$O$_4$ shell also decreases the reaction rate with water and protects the Fe$^0$ core until it is delivered to the target area (RNIP stored in water remained active for more than 7 months). Both particle types have some desirable properties, and combining the desirable characteristics of each could provide optimal Fe$^0$ nanoparticles for subsurface remediation of TCE.
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S.O.P. For Nano-Iron Synthesis

1. Add 20.00g FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O to 1 liter of methanol/DI water (30/70, v/v) solution; stir the solution and allow the FeSO$_4$ to dissolve completely;
2. Once iron has completely dissolved, add drop wise 10mL of 5N NaOH at a rate of 1 drop per second with a 10mL glass pipette; continue stirring;
3. Add 4.0g of NaBH$_4$ to 50mL DI water; stir the solution until dissolved; transfer to a separatory funnel;
4. Add the NaBH$_4$ solution at the rate of 2 drops per second while stirring;
5. Stir for 20 minutes after adding all the NaBH$_4$ solution;
6. Pour iron suspension into plastic centrifuge tubes and centrifuge for 5 minutes at 3500 rpm;
7. Decant the supernatant into waste bottle and refill centrifuge tubes with more of the iron suspension;
8. Repeat the process of centrifuge, decant, refill, mix (steps 6-7) until all of the iron suspension has been centrifuged;
9. Decant supernatant into waste bottle;
10. Transfer the centrifuged iron particles into a beaker containing methanol; use methanol to wash off any iron stuck on the wall; make sure the particles remain under methanol;
11. Place the beaker in N$_2$-purged 120 ºC oven; maintain a positive N$_2$ pressure during drying;
12. Allow the iron dry for 3-4 hours; the time for complete methanol evaporation will depend on the amount of methanol used;
13. Turn off the nitrogen/heat and allow air diffuse into the oven; allow the dried iron to sit this way overnight (~12 hours);
14. Open the oven slowly and remove the iron from the oven; transfer the iron into an Ar-filled glovebox and grind into fine powder with spatula; store the iron particles in sealed glass container under Ar.

Estimation of the boron content on the particles surface due to precipitation of B(III) in synthesis solution:

The mass of B in the synthesis solution, (from 4g NaBH$_4$)

\[ M_o = 4g \times \frac{MW \text{ of } B}{MW \text{ of } NaBH_4} = \frac{10.8 \text{ g mol}^{-1}}{37.8 \text{ g mol}^{-1}} = 1.14g \]

The volume of the synthesis solution:

\[ V_o = 1000 + 10 + 50 = 1060mL = 1.06L \]

The concentration of B in the synthesis solution, \( C_{RB} \):
The volume of the residual solution \( V_R \) among the centrifuged iron particles is \( \leq 10 \) mL. The mass of residual boron, \( M_{RB} \) (as B), on the particle surface is at most:

\[
M_{RB} = V_R \cdot C_{RB} = 0.01 \times 1.08 = 0.011 \text{g}
\]

The measured B content in the particles, \( C_B \), was 5.0 wt %, so the total mass of B in the particles is,

\[
M_B = M_p \cdot C_B \geq 4.0 \times 0.05 = 0.20 \text{g}
\]

where \( M_p \) is the total mass of iron nanoparticles synthesized.

The contribution (\%) of the residual (surface) B to the total B content in the particles is therefore less than 5.5%.

\[
\frac{M_{RB}}{M_B} \leq \frac{0.011 \text{g}}{0.2 \text{g}} \leq 5.5\%
\]